

The Planning Board for the Town of Derry held a public meeting on Wednesday, February 7, 2024, at 7:00 p.m. The meeting was broadcast from the Derry Municipal Center, 14 Manning Street, Third Floor meeting room, with a virtual option.

Members present: John O'Connor, Chair, David Nelson, Vice Chair, Andy Myers, Secretary, Randy Chase, Town Administrator's Representative, Dave Granese, Town Council Liaison, Dan Healey, Richard Malaby, Chris Feinauer, Members, Bryan Fishman, Alternate.

Absent: Jim MacEachern, John Morrison, Member.

Also present: George Sioras, Planning Director; Mark L'Heureux, Town Engineering Coordinator, Lisa Carvalho, Planning Clerk

Mr. O'Connor opened the meeting at 7:00 p.m. The meeting began with a salute to the flag.

Minutes

The Board reviewed the minutes of the January 17, 2024, meeting.

Motion by Granese seconded by Nelson to approve the minutes as amended of the January 17, 2024, meeting.

All in Favor: Chase, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes.
Mr. Fishman abstained. The motion passed.

Correspondence

Mr. Myers reported that the latest issue of Town and Country Magazine was received.

Other Business

Voluntary Merger of 21 & 23 Gena Ave.
PID 59016 & 59015, 21 & 23 Gena Ave.

Mr. Sioras stated that lot is by Rainbow Lake in the North of Town near Upper and Lower Shields Pond, off the bypass. It is an existing house on the lot. The Assessing Department has signed off and Staff recommends approval of the merger.

Motion to approve pursuant to RSA 674:39-a, the Voluntary Merger of PID 59016, 21 Gena Ave. and PID 59015, 23 Gena Ave., PID 59015 will be deleted and PID 59016 will be retained, by Granese, seconded by Nelson.

Roll Call Vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes. The motion passed.

Recommend restoration of involuntarily merged lots
PID 27108, 1 David Court.

Mr. Sioras stated that this an involuntary merger. Until recently, the Town has allowed an involuntary merger. The Assessing Department could merge lots at their discretion. They could combine lots that were historically 2 - 4 lots into one lot. The Assessing Department would send a letter to the owners notifying them of the merger, which was allowed under State law. A few years ago, the Town changed the law, stating that it was not correct for the Assessing Department to do this.

1 David Court is off Highland Avenue, in the South Avenue part of Town. Davis Court is a private road, a driveway with a single family home on one lot. The owner is exercising his right and requesting that the Board change the single lot back to two lots as it was in 1925.

The situation here is different because the Assessing Department has no record of an involuntary merger of these two lots. In the eyes of the Town, this is one lot. Included in the packet for the Board is an email from the Tax Assessor, Mark Jesionowski, recommending that we do not approve the restoration. Also included in the packet for the Board's review is a plan from May of 1974 showing a single lot. This plan is the legal record that the Town has on file at the Registry, number C-4584. Mr. Sioras reviewed the Zoning Board files on February 6, 2024. The previous owner to Mr. Swope, went to the Zoning Board in 2011, again, shown as one parcel, to request an 8-unit multi-family building, which was denied. The previous owner returned in 2015 to request a 5-unit multi-family that was denied on one parcel and returned in 2016 with a request for re-hearings, which was also denied by the Zoning Board.

Staff recommends not to restore the lots.

Motion to recommend pursuant to RSA 674:39-aa, the restoration of PID 27108, Davis Court, to the Derry Town Council, as requested by the landowner, Aaron Swope, to its pre-merger status, by Granese, seconded by Nelson.

Roll Call Vote: Chase, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote No, given the email from the Assessing Department and that there is no corroborating proof that there was an involuntary merger and there were never two lots. Mr. Fishman abstained.

The motion did not pass.

Mr. O'Connor asked if the owner does want to divide the lot, could he petition the Zoning Board and make a request for division.

Mr. Sioras stated, in theory, that yes, they could ask for a variance from the Zoning Board. It is a 100 year old neighborhood that does not meet today's Zoning standards. Although Davis Court is a Town road, on the Zoning map it is a driveway.

Mr. Nelson stated that although he stands by his vote, there is confusion on the details. It says that according to a deed it was two tracks of land. Which is controlling? The plot or the deed?

Mr. Sioras replied that the plot is controlling. The lots were combined by the owner in 1974 plan. This is the recorded plan and makes no reference to two parcels.

Planning Director/Chairman Updates

Mr. Sioras was reminded today by Sheila Bodenradar, Executive Secretary to the Town Administrator, that some Board members have terms that will expire on March 31, 2024. This includes John O'Connor, a vacancy to fill by the departure of Mark Connors and John Morrison, Alternate. Contact Ms. Bodenradar for the form to fill out to be reappointed or move from an Alternate to a Member of the Planning Board.

Mr. O'Connor stated that the public may reach out to Ms. Bodenradar to express interest in joining the Town of Derry Planning Board and to submit the voluntary form.

Mr. Sioras stated that at the Planning Board Meeting on February 21, 2024 there is one plan only, the annual CIP presentation by the Town Administrator and a Site Plan for the new Common Man Roadside which will be replacing the Wendy's restaurant on Crystal Avenue.

Public Hearing

A public hearing to discuss Bangor Savings Bank, PID 31071, 44 Crystal Ave. & PID 31072, 46 Crystal Ave. Lot Line Adjustment.

Mr. Sioras stated that this is the same developer as the Ashleigh Drive project reviewed previously. the purpose of this plan is for a lot-line adjustment between Bluefin Holdings, the motorcycle business on Crystal Ave and Bangor Savings Bank, the owner of the parcel that is currently the Nestle and Pizza Hut locations. The first plan is to adjust the lot-line between these town properties. The Board will review the Site Plan for Bangor Savings Bank separately. Staff recommends approval of the lot-line application.

David Latulip of CJ Developers, representing Bangor Savings Bank and Morgan Dunson of Nobis Group, Civil Engineer, were recognized.

Ms. Dunson stated that the lot-line merger is to create a more conforming lot for site of the Bangor Savings Bank. The proposal is to obtain a portion of the Derry Cycle lot, approximately 5,000 SF or 0.12 acres. It is a triangular area and a 5 foot line that we are proposing to merge with our lot.

Mr. Latulip stated that the intent of the merger is to conform and not require variances or waivers. The neighbor is accommodating, and all requirements would be met.

Accept Jurisdiction

Motion by Granese, seconded by Nelson to accept jurisdiction of the lot-line adjustment plan before the Board for Bangor Savings Bank and Bluefin Holdings, LLC., PID 31071, 44 Crystal Ave., and PID 31072, 46 Crystal Ave.

Roll Call vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes. The motion passed.

Motion to open the public hearing by Granese, seconded by Nelson.

All in Favor: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor, vote Yes. The motion passed.

The **Public Hearing** is now open.

There were no online requests.

Mr. Morde Raz of 2 Concord Avenue, Derry, NH, and Michael Cafarelli of 1 Cheryl Avenue, Derry, NH, were recognized.

Mr. Raz stated his concern that when the lot-line is moved, Derry Cycle repair the fence between the lot and their abutting properties.

Mr. Cafarelli stated that he has spoken with Derry Cycle multiple time and has conferred with the Town on this issue. Mr. Cafarelli moved into his property 3 years ago. His lot is on a dead end road that allows kids to cut through these properties. There is an issue with littering. The fence in question is viewed from Mr. Cafarelli's front window. The Town records show that they are required to maintain the fence. Mr. Cafarelli has been repairing the fence. His requests to Derry Cycle to repair the fence have gone unanswered. He had contacted the Town regarding the issue of the site allowing patrons of T Bones restaurant to park. The noise and light disturbance of 40 cars idling or leaving the parking lot after midnight. The parking has moved to the Nestle Toll House area.

Mr. Raz stated his concerns that buffer zones for noise and light pollution be required for the project.

Mr. Granese recommended the gentleman reach out to Code Enforcement regarding their complaints of noise and light pollution. If no response is received, attend the Town Council meeting for the Public Hearing on the first and third Tuesday of each month at 7:00 pm.

Mr. Sioras stated, in agreement with Mr. Granese's comments, that this is an ongoing issue. The Derry Cycle site was the old Post Office. Part of the site plan approval was to maintain that fence. That has not happened. Code Enforcement has reached out to them 2 or 3 times. The owners are aware of it. Mr. Sioras will reinforce this to Bob Mackey, Code Enforcement Director, on February 8, 2024. It is the responsibility of Derry Cycle to maintain this fence.

Mr. O'Connor stated that after the third request, Code Enforcement sends a letter from the layers to the property owner stating the penalty per day for noncompliance.

Mr. Healey inquired that if we approved the plan years ago and they are required to maintain the fence and are not doing it, what happens next? Is there a violation with a fine? What is the process. It does not seem they are in compliance.

Mr. O'Connor stated that Code Enforcement initiates with the Town lawyer, a letter to the business. If the response is not in the affirmative, they initiate the penalty clause.

Motion to close the public hearing by Granese, seconded by Nelson.

All in Favor: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor, vote Yes. The motion passed.

The **Public Hearing** is now closed.

Mr. Nelson requested confirmation that maintenance of the fence was a condition of Site Plan approval for Derry Cycle when it was before the Planning Board.

Mr. Sioras replied in the affirmative.

Mr. Nelson inquired as Derry Cycle is a co-applicant for the purpose of the lot-line adjustment but not for the Site Plan, is it within our jurisdiction to put a condition the lot-line adjustment relating to what is, effectively, a Site Plan issue?

Mr. Latulip was recognized. He stated that Bluefin has been a good neighbor and has been patient, as this project was started before covid and has taken much time. He will take responsibility for repairing the fence as part of this project. He would rather not have the process drawn out with Code Enforcement and would prefer to handle this in a neighborly way.

Mr. Nelson suggested that the Board add this as a condition of the Site Plan.

Mr. Chase stated that this damaged are of the fence is not on Bangor Savings Bank property. If Bluefin states that the fence they are fixing is on their property and ask them to cease, there could be new issues to contend with. He does not want to put the Board in a negative position by requiring conditions that cannot be controlled by the applicant.

Mr. Latulip stated that they will reach out to Bluefin and gain permission.

Mr. Nelson stated that if this situation cannot be worked out with both parties, there are Code Enforcement issues, but it is also lawful for us to conduct a Condition Compliance Hearing and find that the original Site Plan is in violation of an existing condition and act at the Board level.

Mr. Chase agreed.

Mr. Granese asked for clarification from Mr. Cafarelli that this is a stockade fence.

Mr. Cafarelli stated that the area is the entire back parking lot. The fence runs to the end of Cheryl Avenue. The record shows there is an opening gate. Mr. Cafarelli has been repairing the fence as it had been plowed down the year that he moved in. Code Enforcement said to him that they need an opening gate to allow egress from the property in case of a parade. He asked why a commercial property has access to a residential street. Mr. Cafarelli prefers a solid fence for the full length of the fence to cut off access to Cheryl Avenue.

Mr. Granese suggested that Mr. Sioras and Code Enforcement look at the original Site Plan to see the fence details. He stated that Mr. Cafarelli's request is outside the purview of the Planning Board.

Mr. Chase stated that Cheryl Avenue is a public way. He does not believe the residential property owner can force the business to remove access to a public way. They may close the gate if it abuts a private parcel of land and move it to where it abuts Cheryl Avenue. Anyone can have access to a public way.

Finding of Facts

The Board finds the proposed plan does not alter the existing conditions, which would affect access to the lots, ensuring the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood remains unchanged as a result of adjustment of the lot line.

Motion to agree with the above noted findings of fact by Granese, seconded by Nelson.
Roll Call vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor, vote Yes. The motion passed.

Approve pursuant to

Motion by Granese, seconded by Nelson, to approve pursuant to RSA 676:4 III – Expedited Review, with the following conditions:

1. Subject to owner's signature.
2. Subject to on-site inspection by the Town Engineer.
3. Establish escrow for the setting of bounds or certify the bounds have been set.
4. Obtain written approval from the Planning Director/designee that the GIS disk is received and is operable and complies with LDCR Section 170-24-14.C
5. Conditions precedent shall be met within six months.
6. Submission of the appropriate recording fees, payable to the Rockingham County Registry of Deeds. This includes the \$25.00 LCHIP fee, recording fees for the mylar and Notice of Decision.

Mr. Chase votes yes with the caveat that the applicant addresses the abutters legitimate concerns.

Mr. O'Connor stated that this will be noted on the Site Plan Approve Pursuant to.

Roll Call Vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor, vote Yes. The motion passed.

A public hearing to discuss Bangor Savings Bank – New Branch, PID 31072, 46 Crystal Ave. Site Plan Review.

Mr. Sioras stated the purpose of the plan is for a 2,900 SF bank branch in the General Commercial District. All Town departments have reviewed and signed the plan. There are no waivers. There are no State permits required. Staff would recommend approval of the site plan application.

Mr. Sioras yielded to Ms. Dunson and Mr. Latulip.

Ms. Dunson stated that this site is currently developed as the Nestle Toll House Bakery. We propose to demo the site and build and build a 2,900 SF bank with two ATM drive-thrus. The site will have 17 parking spaces. The parking spaces in the back will be for employees only. Access from Crystal Avenue will remain as it is. There will be a One-Way around the back to the ATM machines and then out to the exit. We are going to make a connecting to the existing right-of-way sidewalk, having a walkable path to the front door of the building. We are proposing to build a fence on the backside of the lot to create screening for abutters. We will create three rain gardens for stormwater treatment and drainage, whereas before the stormwater was sheet flowing to the property to the North, the Valvoline business and was running off the property untreated. We will reuse the existing sewer and water connections to Crystal Avenue. We will bring in underground electricity from the existing utility pole on the corner of the site.

Mr. Latulip shared drawings of the architectural design of the building, stating that it is similar to a project they have completed in Bar Harbor, ME, that was well received, colonial looking, with a combination of brick and clapboard and extensive trim work. There will be a shingled roof, as opposed to a flat roof.

Accept Jurisdiction

Motion by Granese, seconded by Nelson, to accept jurisdiction of site plan before the Board for Bangor Savings Bank, PID 31072, 46 Crystal Ave.

Roll Call vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor, vote Yes. The motion passed.

Determination of Regional Impact

Motion by Granese, seconded by Nelson, pursuant to RSA 36:56, the Board finds the proposal as presented at this time meets the definition of a development of regional impact.

Roll Call Vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote No, as this a small, local development and DOES NOT meet the definition of a development of regional impact.

Motion to open the public hearing by Granese, seconded by Nelson.

All in Favor: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor, vote Yes. The motion passed.

The **Public Hearing** is now open.

There were no online requests.

Mr. Morde Raz, of 2 Concord Avenue, Derry, NH, was recognized. He stated that it was discussed regarding the location of the fence that will be built, with bushes to block headlights during the night, coming into the 24/7 ATM. Also discussed was the timing of dumpster emptying to that it is not in the night. The plan also includes changing the location of snow dumping to avoid knocking down the fence.

Motion to close the public hearing by Granese, seconded by Nelson.

Roll Call Vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor, vote Yes. The motion passed.

The **Public Hearing** is now closed.

Mr. O'Connor recognized Mr. L'Heureux.

Mr. L'Heureux commented on the drain swale along Concord Avenue. There will be some trees and regrading of the area. The abutter should understand that there will be construction activity during that process. It will be restored and be a grass swale that will flow into the catch basin that is being installed at the intersection for that purpose.

Mr. Nelson stated, regarding the abutter's comments around fencing and shrubbery, there is fence shown on sheet C-2 and the callout says, "Proposed 8-foot tall screening fenced typical." What materials will be used?

Mr. Latulip stated that the fence will be wood as it holds up better than chain-link. Wooden fence will shade all traffic lights that would come around the building.

Ms. Denson stated that this detail is on sheet C-8. Stockade fence.

Mr. Nelson stated that there was a discussion of shrubbery on sheet LA-101, which indicates landscape planting. He asked for clarification of the light issue in regard to the landscape plan. Is there room between the pavement and the fence to have a healthy shrub grow?

Ms. Dunson stated that at this time there is not shrubbery proposed but that is a revision we can make. On sheet C-2 it shows a guardrail next to the drive which is, she believes, 1.5 feet off of the drive. The 8-foot stockade fence is off of that. The hinge point is 5 feet to the property line.

Mr. Latulip stated that with an 8-foot fence, shrubbery may not be necessary. We will meet with the abutter once the fence is built and if additional shrubbery is needed to block light, we will accommodate.

Architectural Design Regulations

Motion to find pursuant to LDCR 170-85, that the application as presented demonstrates substantial compliance with the Architectural Design Regulations. This property is located in the General Commercial District and meets a High level of compliance as outlined in section 170.84, by Granese, seconded by Nelson.

All in Favor: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes. The motion passed.

Finding of Facts

The Board finds the proposed plan does not alter the existing conditions which would affect access to the lots, ensuring the health, safety, and welfare of the neighborhood remains unchanged as a result of the site plan. The proposed development allow would for ongoing redevelopment of the General Business District parcels along Crystal Ave. and help expand the Town's tax base. The redevelopment project would provide replacing an existing, vacant building with a new business venture located in Derry and providing employment opportunities.

Motion to agree with the above noted findings of fact by Granese, seconded by Nelson.

All in Favor: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes. The motion passed.

Approve pursuant to

Motion by Granese, seconded by Nelson, to approve pursuant to RSA 674:4 I – Completed Application, with the following conditions:

1. Subject to owner's signature.
2. Subject to on-site inspection by the Town Engineer.
3. Establish appropriate escrow as required to complete the project.
4. Obtain written approval from the Planning Director/designee that the GIS disk is received and is operable and complies with LDCR Section 170-24-14.C
5. Friendly negotiations with the owners of the motorcycle sales facility with regard to fixing the fence that was discussed this evening.
6. Conditions precedent shall be met within six months.

Mr. Granese stated that he is happy with the redevelopment of the area that will bring jobs to the Town and increase the tax base and be great for the Town. Mr. Myers agreed. Mr. Nelson believes the architectural design is excellent.

Roll Call Vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes.

The motion passed.

Mr. O'Connor stated that anyone in disagreement with the decision of the Board have 30 days to request a re-hearing or go directly to Superior Court.

Mr. Latulip commented that he has done projects in 50 different communities in different states. He has been sharing with people for the past 6 months that his experience in Derry is one of the tops. Your Staff work proactively and come to meetings prepared, they gave us information. That allows us to do a better project for the community and the Town. Kudos to Derry and your entire process.

A public hearing to discuss Corner Post Restorations, LLC, PID 29011, 24 Jefferson Street

Mr. Sioras stated the purpose of the plan is for a three-lot subdivision plan located in the Medium-High Density Residential II District. There is an existing house on the parcel. The current owners have renovated a deteriorating property and have done a great job. The subdivision plan is proposing two new additional house lots for single family only. All Town departments have reviewed and signed the plan. There are no waivers. NHDES State subdivision has been obtained, copy on file. State subdivision has approved, copy on file. Staff would recommend approval of the subdivision application.

Rob Degan of S&H Land Services, representing the owners, was recognized.

Mr. Degan stated that in the audience tonight are Megan Collins and Brian Legardo of Corner Post Restorations. This is a straightforward subdivision; one lot into three. It has a unique feature in that a portion of the property projects into the Town of Londonderry, NH. We have shown this plan to the Town of Londonderry. The proposed lots meet all the Derry regulations without utilizing any of the land in Londonderry. The Londonderry Planning Board did not feel the need to get involved because of that. We are requesting one waiver for overhead utilities with the justification that all the houses on the street already have overhead utilities and the poles are accessible. There will be septic systems and public water. We have worked with Mr. L'Heureux to address the concerns that he had regarding the status of the water main and cleaning up some drainage. Currently, there is no turn-around for Town vehicles on the street. We are proposing an easement on the third lot which will cover the end of the proposed driveway for that lot but would give an easement to turn around for Town vehicles.

Mr. O'Connor asked of Mr. Sioras, that although Mr. Degan stated that this has nothing to do with the Town of Londonderry Planning Board, they propose to change a lot line on the Londonderry line. Do we have to give Londonderry notice?

Mr. Chase suggested continuing the process and this issue will come to light.

Accept Jurisdiction

Motion by Granese, seconded by Nelson, to accept jurisdiction of site plan before the Board for Corner Post Restorations, LLC, PID 29011, 24 Jefferson Street.

All in Favor: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes. The motion passed.

Determination of Regional Impact

Motion by Granese, seconded by Nelson, pursuant to RSA 36:56, the Board finds the proposal as presented at this time meets the definition of a development of regional impact.

Roll Call Vote: Chase, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes, as this is a split lot between the Towns of Derry and Londonderry, this IS development meets the definition of a development of regional impact. Mr. Fishman and Mr. Feinauer abstained.

Mr. Chase stated that per the RSA, at this time we have made a determination of regional impact, the deliberations must cease until the Town of Londonderry has been notified. The applicant can return to the next Planning Board meeting to continue.

Mr. Sioras stated that the Town of Londonderry must be notified. WE have had this happen before. The Londonderry Planning Board has reached out to me to say that it is not required for the Londonderry Planning Board. We have had two similar times when the Town lines were involved and asked if Derry wants a Site Plan review, and we would make a determination. The applicant will reach out to my colleague in Londonderry, and he can say whether it would be required. Mr. Sioras does not believe it would be required in this case, but a formal, written statement must be received from the Town of Londonderry saying that they received the request from the Town of Derry. If it is not required, that's fine. Mr. Sioras gave an example of a building in Derry with a parking lot in Londonderry. These were made subject to each Town's Planning Board review. The Derry Planning Board can put a condition of approval that this is subject to the Londonderry Planning Board approval.

Mr. Granese clarified that the responsibility to notify Londonderry, as they are an abutter, would be on the applicant.

Mr. Sioras stated that the only abutter would be the Londonderry people, not the Town of Londonderry itself. He can reach out to his counterpart, but the applicant has the onus.

Mr. Degan restated that they have shown this to the Londonderry Planning Board. He does not have a letter. Mr. Degan does not have a date to refer to, so the Minutes of that meeting are not applicable at this time. Mr. Degan stated that the Staff of Londonderry showed it to their Board for informational reasons. They were not concerned as we are not relying on Londonderry land for this application nor are lot lines or tax amounts changing within the Town of Londonderry.

Mr. Nelson stated that if we were to have Minutes of the Londonderry Planning Board in front of us tonight that indicated that they declined to accept any interest in this application, then we could continue. The State statute states that there is a formal communication and

acknowledgement required. Given that we do not have this documentation tonight, we must continue this application when that documentation is received.

Mr. Sioras stated that the abutters will not be renotified.

Motion by Nelson, seconded by Chase, to continue this plan to next available date of February 21, 2024, after which the Town of Londonderry has responded to the notice that we are going to send to them.

Roll Call Vote: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes, as this development meets the definition of a development of regional impact.

Mr. Sioras invited the abutters and owners in attendance to adjourn to review the site plan with the developer.

Mr. O'Connor stated that on February 6, 2024, he did a presentation to the Town Council regarding past annual events of the Planning Board and requested that Mr. Sioras share that PowerPoint presentation with the other memberships.

Mr. Granese reminded that Frost Fest is Saturday, February 17, 2024.

Motion by Granese, seconded by Nelson, to adjourn.

All in Favor: Chase, Fishman, Healey, Granese, Malaby, Feinauer, Myers, Nelson, O'Connor vote Yes. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned at 8:04 p.m.

Approved by:


Chairman/Vice Chairman


Secretary

Approval date:

February 21, 2024