

The Planning Board for the Town of Derry held a public meeting on Wednesday, September 20, 2017, at 7:00 p.m., at the Derry Municipal Center (3rd Floor Meeting Room) located at 14 Manning Street in Derry, New Hampshire.

Members present: David Granese, Chairman; John O'Connor, Vice Chairman; Brian Chirichiello, Town Council Liaison; Randy Chase, Town Administrative Representative; Frank Bartkiewicz, Jim MacEachern (7:04 p.m.), Lori Davison, Maya Levin, Members; Mark Connors, Alternate

Absent: Michael Fairbanks, Elizabeth Carver

Also present: George Sioras, Planning Director; Elizabeth Robidoux, Planning Assistant; Mark L'Heureux, Engineering Coordinator

Mr. Granese called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. The meeting began with a salute to the flag which was led by two Scouts from Troop 402, Ryan and Gavin, who are working on their Communication Merit Badge. Mr. Granese then noted the emergency exits, the location of meeting materials, and introduced the Board members and staff.

Ms. Davison was appointed Secretary Pro-Temp for the evening and Mr. Connors was seated for Mr. Fairbanks.

Escrow

#17-34

Project Name: 5 West View Drive/23 Kilrea

Developer: Erin Smith

Escrow Account: Same

Escrow Type: Cash Escrow

Parcel ID/Location: 03152-005 and 03152-007, 5 West View Drive and 23 Kilrea

The request is to approve Release #1 in the amount of \$712.80 for the above noted project. The amount to retain is zero. This is the final release.

Motion by O'Connor, seconded by Bartkiewicz to approve as presented. The motion passed with all in majority in favor.

#17-35

Project Name: Frost Road Sprinklers

Developer: James N. Taylor

Escrow Account: Same

Escrow Type: Cash Escrow

Parcel ID/Location: 03104-005, Frost Road

The request is to approve Release #1 in the amount of \$5000.00 for the above noted project. The amount to retain is zero. This is the final release. This escrow is non-interest bearing.

Motion by O'Connor, seconded by Bartkiewicz to approve as presented. The motion passed with all in favor.

Mr. MacEachern was seated.

Minutes

The Board reviewed the minutes of the September 06, 2017, meeting.

Motion by O'Connor, seconded by MacEachern to approve the minutes of the September 06, 2017, meeting as amended. The motion passed with Chase abstaining.

Correspondence

Ms. Davison advised the Board has received a letter from Town Administrator David Caron regarding the previous meeting's discussion about installation of an electronic message board within the existing sign structure at the Adams Memorial Building to ~~notify~~provide notification of public events. As stated at the hearing, many may recall years ago when a great number of Town Halls contained a second floor which housed an auditorium or opera house, not just for government meetings but also for performing arts. Although many communities have deviated from this purpose over the years there are still many communities throughout the country which provide and/or operate an auditorium or opera space for the arts. The sign will advertise public events that occur in that space and that is why an electronic message board would be an asset for the Adams Memorial Building.

Mr. O'Connor felt LED boards should be placed on the agenda for further discussion. They could be added to other areas of town. Mr. MacEachern would like to see an action item placed on an agenda to review the sign ordinance for the downtown. Perhaps electronic signs should be allowed elsewhere. This sign could be used as a guideline. The Board should look at this. This is a good step; the sign is tasteful and appealing. Mr. Granese stated he noted the requests. Ms. Davison advised the remainder of the letter from Mr. Caron listed other communities with an opera house.

The Board has also received notification via Mr. Caron that Pennichuck Water Works will be selling 62.5 Bedard Avenue. The lot formerly housed the well and supporting structures for the Maple Hills water system. The 4.3 acre lot is available for sale. Mr. Chirichiello stated when town owned land is on the market, the Council generally asks the Boards and Committees if there is any interest in retaining the lots. This would be a similar situation. Ms. Davison reported the Board has also received the latest edition of *Town and City*. Mr. Granese stated he would place Board discussion of the Bedard Avenue lot on the next agenda. The Board was not clear on whether there were structures on the property or not.

Other BusinessFaçade Review, Simply Orthodontics, PID 30078, 8 Crystal Avenue

Mr. Sioras advised there had been a change of business use at this location. This was the site of the former Kentucky Fried Chicken; more recently Chicken and Biscuit. The site sat vacant and became one of the properties on the property maintenance list. The lot was recently purchased by a local orthodontist and he began to make changes to the building. The Board wanted the opportunity to review the changes and ask questions. A rendering of what the façade will look like has been provided.

Dr. Sam Alkhoury advised he is the owner of the property. He has offices in Hudson and Derry. He purchased the property about a year ago, but there have been challenges with the internal fit up. The building has some issues which created construction delays. The plan before the Board this evening is the rendering of the exterior of the building. He advised the gray may end up being a darker shade; that is still in the decision process. The Board members noted they liked the proposed color scheme.

Mr. Granese inquired what will be done with the existing drive-through. Dr. Alkhoury said the staff will park in this area. He is not certain at this point if the staff will park in both lanes; for now, they will park in the left lane which will leave access for patients to drive around the building if they so choose. The landscaping is scheduled to be completed this week. The signage for the drive through will remain, but the in/out will change from red to blue. He will also use the existing signage on Crystal Avenue updating it with the practice information. Dr. Alkhoury felt the improvements on the site will elevate the standard on the street.

Motion by O'Connor to approve the façade improvements as noted in the September 01, 2017 correspondence for Simply Orthodontics, PID 30078, 8 Crystal Avenue, seconded by MacEachern.

Chase, Levin, Chirichiello, O'Connor, MacEachern, Davison, Bartkiewicz, Connors and Granese voted in favor and the motion passed.

Mr. Granese welcomed Dr. Alkhoury to Derry.

Schedule public hearing, MHDR to MHDR II

Motion by MacEachern, seconded by Bartkiewicz to schedule a public hearing on Wednesday, October 18, 2017, to discuss a proposed zoning change for 444[±] parcels located in the Medium High Density Residential zone (adjacent to the Central Business District) to the Medium High Density Residential II zone.

Chase, Levin, Chirichiello, O'Connor, MacEachern, Davison, Bartkiewicz, Connors and Granese voted in favor and the motion passed.

Mr. Granese noted there will not be individual notice of this hearing and the meeting will start at 7:00 p.m.

Public Hearing

**Jay Goga Realty, LLC
East Derry Improvement Society
PID 39033, 39034, 39035
50, 52 and 54 East Derry Road
Acceptance/Review, Site Plan
Addition of parking lot for East Derry Store/Restaurant**

Mr. Sioras provided the following staff report. The purpose of the plan is for the addition of a parking lot for the East Derry Store and the existing addition intended to be a food service and sit down restaurant per the ZBA variance approval granted on June 15, 2017. Town departments have signed the plan. There are some waiver requests which the applicant will explain. There has been a request from Public Works to continue the plan to the next meeting because of technical reasons.

Tim Peloquin, Promised Land Survey, and Sam Patel, owner, presented. Mr. Peloquin stated the Board has before it a 3 sheet plan containing a cover sheet, the site plan, and the proposed septic design. He would like to ask permission of the Board to submit a revised, 5 sheet plan set which now includes drainage information. On Monday, he submitted a copy of the drainage report and the plan to Public Works; he is aware staff has had no time to review the plan. The plan set includes the pre and post construction analysis. This parking area does not adversely affect the drainage.

Motion by MacEachern, seconded by Bartkiewicz to accept the revised plan set. Discussion followed.
--

Mr. O'Connor confirmed the engineer who performed the drainage analysis is a Professional Engineer.

Chase, Levin, Chirichiello, O'Connor, MacEachern, Davison, Bartkiewicz, Connors, and Granese voted in favor and the motion passed.
--

A copy of the revised plan was placed in the file.

Mr. MacEachern said he had no issue with adding a few pages to the plan set as the Board had the original plan set available to review well in advance of the meeting. Mr. Peloquin explained the difference between the two plan sets. There is one change to a note on the cover sheet updating the total number of plan sheets. The only other change is the addition of sheets 3 & 4 which outline the drainage details. Those sheets have been submitted to prove the drainage is

ample and sufficient. He also provided a drainage report. A copy was provided to the Chairman and subsequently placed in the file. Mr. Peloquin expressed he was hopeful the Board would see fit to conditionally approve the plan, allowing him to resolve any issues Public Works might have with the drainage as time goes on. This lot contains the East Derry Store which is a general store in town. Mr. Patel has operated the business for several years and has made many improvements. General stores are a dying breed; they are being replaced by gas stations with convenience stores. Mr. Patel is competing with the larger stores and wants to supplement his livelihood with a small restaurant. The intent is to open a 25 seat restaurant in the addition, and they are proposing a small parking lot and patio. This would enhance Mr. Patel's business model and his opportunity to thrive and survive. Mr. Patel has an agreement with the East Derry Village Improvement Society (EDVIS). EDVIS owns the Upper Village Hall which was the original town hall. Functions are held at this facility and a variety of groups meet within the building. The Upper Village Hall has improved over the years and those efforts will continue. This is one step in the process. Mr. Patel will work with EDVIS to improve the back of his building. He will improve the entrance to the Upper Village Hall parking lot which provides the access to the rear of Mr. Patel's lot. The Upper Village Hall may eventually expand their parking lot. This proposal should be seen as an improvement to what already exists.

Sheet 5 shows the proposed septic plan which meets the state requirements. The plan will be submitted to the state once ~~is-it~~ has finished going through the Derry Planning Board review process. Mr. Patel has constructed the addition but is not ready to open the restaurant because he needs this approval first. He would like to open this fall.

Mr. MacEachern stated he would like to hear from the representatives present this evening from EDVIS. The back of the lot is also the EDVIS lot and that is where the activity is going to occur. He believed everyone on the Board has at some point attended an event at the Upper Village Hall.

David Milz and Arthur Caras, members of the Board of Directors for the East Derry Village Improvement Society, represented EDVIS. They own the Upper Village Hall and what is known as Pearson Park, a 4.5 acre area adjacent and to the rear of the Upper Village Hall. There is a verbal agreement in place with Mr. Patel. They support the project, but nothing will formally be placed in writing until after the Planning Board finishes its deliberations. They are waiting to see the final outcome. When the town owned the Upper Village Hall, there had been talk of selling the building for a Senior Center. As part of those discussions, a plan was drawn up depicting a 98 space parking lot behind the Upper Village Hall. They still intend to put that plan into effect at some point. They are okay with Mr. Patel's proposal but want to see what the Board has to say. Originally, they wanted Mr. Patel to use the original plan, put in the grading and drainage and leave it ~~at-as~~ gravel, rather than paving it. As Mr. Patel has gone through the process, the plans have changed. Whatever form this final plan takes, EDVIS will be in agreement and will then formalize their agreement with Mr. Patel accordingly.

Mr. Connors asked with regard to the large area behind the Upper Village Hall. Mr. Milz explained they want the large parking lot to be to code with lights and pavement, etc. However, they are a non-profit so they are not at the point where they can do that yet. There is a ¼ acre

area that has gravel and is used for parking now. At some point, they would like to put everything to the same elevation with proper drainage. Mr. Connors confirmed EDVIS is a 501c3 organization, and that the park and Upper Village Hall are privately owned (by EDVIS).

Mr. Chirichiello asked if there are any drafts of the agreement the Board could view. Mr. Milz advised the agreement was made by handshake; at this point there is no draft document. Mr. Patel had asked if his two employees could park at the Upper Village Hall to open up parking spaces in front of the East Derry Store. EDVIS said that was fine; it benefits them to have cars in their parking lot. Then Mr. Patel had the idea for the addition for a beer cooler and storage in the lower level of the addition. EDVIS agreed it was okay to cross their land for construction purposes. Mr. Patel then moved forward with the restaurant proposal. EDVIS will grant Mr. Patel the right to park up to whatever number of spaces the Board imposes on the plan in the EDVIS lot. They will not create an easement between EDVIS and Mr. Patel, but they will draft an agreement between EDVIS, Mr. Patel and his family.

Motion by MacEachern, seconded by Bartkiewicz to open the public hearing. The motion passed with all in favor and the floor was open to the public.

Randall Kelley, Hardy Court, stated Mr. Patel has put a lot of work into this project. He is a great asset to the community and this project will be beneficial.

Timothy Harding, 1 Pond Road, owns the parcel immediately adjacent to the East Derry Store parcel. He requested clarification on the applicant. His notice also lists EDVIS. Mr. Patel has been a good neighbor. He would point out that the plan shows a tree line between Lots ~~30032~~ 39030 and ~~30033~~ 39035. There is no tree line. He has no concerns about Mr. Patel's intentions, but does not want to see 98 cars in his backyard.

Karen Blandford-Anderson, Chair of the Heritage Commission, stated as you drive by these lots, everything looks good. The Heritage Commission has oversight over the Historical District. She asked the Board to keep in mind visuals from the main road. Nothing can be seen now, but as parking lots get built out, this is the most historic area in town, and they would like to keep it that way.

There was no further public comment.

Motion by MacEachern to close the public hearing, seconded by Bartkiewicz. The motion passed with all in favor and review returned to the Board.

Mr. Connors said when looking at the lot line between the residential property and Mr. Patel's lot, the construction on the lot appears to be well away from the residence. Mr. Peloquin said the lot was surveyed in 2003/2004 and the tree line was there at that time. He had been informed by Arthur Caras that EDVIS had cut along the stone wall and made improvements to the backland. Keeping the tree line on the plan is an error. The bigger parking lot area referred to by Mr. Milz is shown on Sheet 4. That is represented by the outline of the conceptual parking lot; that plan only got as far as TRC. This project could be considered Phase 1. The parking area as proposed meets the parking calculations. There are ten spaces in the front. As part of this approval, they

would be managing those as 15 minute parking for store use only. The people utilizing the restaurant would need to go out back. There are traffic concerns on East Derry Road; people are backing out onto the road. This parking lot should alleviate some of that. Deliveries will be made to the rear and alleviate some of the concerns on East Derry Road. Paving the back section creates ADA access. This is a great project. The improvements won't be visible from the road. The plan includes a 24 foot wide access on compacted gravel without pavement that will allow ample access to the rear of the Upper Village Hall. The gravel will look more historical.

Mr. Connors confirmed the intent is to re-pave the apron of the access off the road, transitioning to gravel behind the Upper Village Hall and then to pavement in the area behind the East Derry Store. It was also confirmed the grease ~~pits-traps~~ noted have been reviewed by the Code Enforcement Office. Mr. Granese confirmed there is proposed green space and the shed will be removed. Snow storage procedures are found at Note 12. Mr. MacEachern confirmed the parking calculations and drainage calculations.

Mr. L'Heureux advised there are a number of issues with the plan the Board has before it. There are several versions of the plan and there is different information on each plan. He believes it would be aggressive to conditionally approve a plan that has scattered information. The plans need to be consolidated, the drainage reviewed, and the waivers need to be clarified. The waiver request letter has three waiver requests, the plan shows six requests; there may be more because the access drive does not meet regulations, nor is there a sufficient distance from the property line. The plan needs to be detailed because this is a unique situation; the Board may vote on something different from the regulations. With regard to the gravel access drive leading to a gravel parking lot that goes to a paved parking lot - that is not in the spirit of the regulations. They provided additional pavement in front of the store that was not discussed at the TRC level. Another critical item is the handshake agreement. That needs to be well defined in an easement in the event one of the property owners changes. The Board needs clear information on which to vote. The drainage is different from what is on the plan the Board has in their packet. It is not prudent to expedite this plan. Time is required to clarify the plan issues and to make sure all the waivers are listed that should be listed. Mr. Granese noted both plan sets list six waivers; the packet has three.

Mr. Connors noted the restaurant use is already approved. Can the restaurant be approved without parking? Mr. L'Heureux stated it is not in the spirit of the regulations. Each entity needs to be treated the same. Mr. Granese clarified the Board is only reviewing the parking lot, not the restaurant use.

Mr. Peloquin spoke with regard to the waiver requests. Originally, as noted on the plan, they thought they needed 6 waivers; they were able to reduce that down to the three in the letter based on discussions with TRC staff. For example, they no longer need a waiver for the drainage report.

Mr. MacEachern felt this was a unique situation. The building owner does not have the land to do this and needs an agreement with a private entity. The Upper Village Hall has history in this town. He has a lot of respect for the Public Works Department. This application presents a unique situation to the town. The Upper Village Hall was the original town hall. He heard the

comments from the Heritage Commission that the historical aesthetic should not be changed. This is proposed behind the buildings. The Upper Village Hall provides the access behind the buildings for Mr. Patel's proposed parking. How this is handled by the Board will affect Mr. Patel as far as his liability for his customers. Pavement is much better because it is safer and will pose less liability; but it is not his land. The right thing to do would be to pave behind Mr. Patel, even though it is not his land. Mr. MacEachern agreed with Mr. L'Heureux; it is odd to have gravel and then pavement, but the Heritage Commission wants the look to remain the same. Those questions need to be answered by EDVIS; he would like to know if they are comfortable leaving the area behind them as grass. Are the improvements worth what they need to do? EDVIS can come up with a good document. There should be a written commitment between EDVIS and East Derry Store pertinent to parking and items brought up tonight. He would also want to see the septic approval and the drainage calculations.

Mr. MacEachern said he wants to make sure all six waivers are on the motion. Mr. Peloquin explained they did not need the waiver for the drainage study or detailed site grading. Mr. MacEachern noted EDVIS is not in a position to pave their parking area at this point.

Mr. Sioras explained to the Board the letter submitted on August 29, 2017 by Promised Land Survey only requested three waivers. That is why there is a discrepancy between the motion and the plan. Mr. Peloquin insisted the three requested are the required waivers. Mr. L'Heureux maintained there are more waivers required.

Mr. Granese could see what Mr. MacEachern was saying. If all of the conditions are added as part of a conditional approval, how does Mr. L'Heureux feel? Mr. L'Heureux said he does not recommend conditionally approving this plan without proper review of the plan to identify the other items that will require waivers that precede approval of the plan. There are items such as setbacks and the access drive requirements that will require waivers. He does not have a complete list in front of him as he did not have time to review the plan properly. There could be another three or more waivers required such as setback and elevation requirements and slope requirements for the access drive that feeds Mr. Patel's parking lot. The Board would need to waive all of those items. Mr. Granese asked if those would be required if EDVIS was creating their parking lot. They would. Mr. Connors noted the access drive is proposed at 24' which is wider than the existing access. Mr. L'Heureux explained there are fixed measurements and design criteria for access. Anything that falls outside of the standard requires a waiver; the plan has to comply with many sections of the Land Development Control Regulations.

Mr. Connors felt that in unique situations, the Board needed to be careful that it does not set a bad precedent; especially as there is lack of a written agreement. The professional staff is adamant in his concerns. Mr. Connors said he recommends the Board listen to staff and delay he decision to the next meeting.

Mr. Peloquin felt some of the questions have been answered. Some of the plan is unique because there is a melding of existing conditions with proposed conditions. He feels fairly confident the three waivers requested are all they will need. He can discuss this with Mr. L'Heureux.

Mr. MacEachern suggested the Board take a poll as to whether this plan should be continued. He respects the DPW. This is a unique proposal and it is causing a lot of thought as far as what is the right and wrong thing to do. Personally, if the Board decided to continue the plan, he would want to make it clear as far as the guidelines for the East Derry Store, EDVIS, and staff as to what the parameters and guidelines are that need to be met before the next meeting. There are existing conditions. He does not want to touch anything behind EDVIS. The plan is specific to the East Derry Store for 9 parking spots, a patio, and drainage. By statute, the Board needs to make sure they have the waivers such as access, and gravel. They are making a left turn onto gravel to pavement. EDVIS is not in a position to pave its lot. He would like to see what is missing for waivers between this meeting and the next meeting. He is not sure he would want to see the entire area paved all the way to the back. Mr. Patel should have some pavement for his use. Mr. Connors did not think this applicant had to be held to the standards, but the Board needs to be clear on the list of waivers. Mr. Peloquin stated this is a moving target. Mr. MacEachern said the issue is the access ~~is across~~ crosses another property. Mr. Chirichiello said that is why an easement is required; he heard the applicant say there will be an agreement. He felt it would be beneficial to postpone this hearing to clarify all the details.

Mr. L'Heureux said the typical procedure is to vote to accept the plan and then vote on the waivers. There are items that require waivers such as property line setbacks that are missing from the plan. He wants to make sure all of the waivers are noted because of the complicated nature of the plan. It is best to itemize ahead of time and to have some sort of agreement included in the package; that is important. Mr. O'Connor commented the existing lot line is non-conforming. Mr. L'Heureux said it still needs to be so far from the lot line.

Mr. Milz provided a short history of the Upper Village Hall lot. In the 1960s this building was rehabilitated. The proposal is for 24 feet of pavement at the entrance because that is all the town would previously allow them to pave. The septic system is unique and connects the Taylor Library across the street. At one time, all of these buildings were town owned. EDVIS allowed Mr. Patel to place his septic system behind the buildings. They tried to pave in the 1960s to the bump out in the rear but were denied because they could not pave over the septic system. They had approval to expand the gravel at any time, but did not do it. He feels it is bizarre to fight about 2017 regulations. The intent is to allow Mr. Patel to have whatever number of parking spaces he needs in their parking area. He can have access back and forth. EDVIS will maintain control of the access and will keep their parking area and will only expand their parking as it becomes necessary.

Mr. Connors asked if EDVIS came before the Board with a parking lot proposal, would the town require the same waivers. Mr. L'Heureux stated the applicant is constructing an island of parking that meets the town standards; the rest of the plan does not, so they need the waivers. This is a new use and as such falls under today's standards unless the Board waives the requirements. Mr. Milz did not feel this was a new use because they park in their parking lot now.

Mr. Sioras said he respects both parties. He wants to see Mr. Patel succeed and move forward. This site predates zoning. He respects Mr. L'Heureux's opinion. He suggests coming back to another meeting to address the outstanding items. This is unique and can't meet today's

regulations. The Board does need to address a few items. He does not want to hold Mr. Patel up from moving forward.

Mr. Peloquin said the applicant is the East Derry Store and the plan has a signature line for EDVIS to sign the plan. He does not know what waivers he is asking for. He felt this could all be worked out with Mr. L'Heureux but it is a unique situation. This is a small section of paving behind the store with a septic system and it all works. Mr. L'Heureux may need to see some slight site changes, or revised drainage, but that can all be worked out. It is important to provide proper access, that is why they expanded the entrance from 12 feet to 24 feet.

Mr. Granese noted Mr. L'Heureux had indicated there are a few more waivers to be listed. They have waivers before the Board for HISS mapping and Wetland mapping, which are typical waivers. There is a waiver for the traffic impact analysis. He travels by this site daily and does not foresee the restaurant adding a lot of traffic because it closes early. He sees contractors sitting outside on the stairs; which can impede access to the store. Mr. MacEachern agreed the traffic would not change. Mr. Granese did not think the traffic impact was a big issue as far as the waiver. The drainage summary has been submitted and will be reviewed. The applicant addressed snow storage and the new parking lot will be paved. There is green space. Mr. L'Heureux noted there are discrepancies between the plan submitted with the application and the plan provided this evening. Neither staff nor the Board has had time to review the revised plan. Public Works did not want to sign off on Technical Review because all of the information had not been submitted. It is a busy time of year for everyone. The drainage summary was just received on Monday. Mr. Granese asked if DPW had any issues other than the waivers. Mr. L'Heureux could not say as he has not been able to review the details on the plan submittal.

Mr. Granese said the Board would need to vote to continue the meeting to October 04, 2017 but he does not want to hold up the applicant. He wants to do things the right way. The store is clean and Mr. Patel has improved it. It is nice to see someone investing in their business. He wants to make sure this plan is done correctly so there are no issues later; he would feel bad if Mr. Patel was held up. The sooner this is completed, the sooner East Derry Road is made safer.

Mr. Connors noted this applicant does not own the property where the work will be completed. Mr. Granese said Mr. Patel is the applicant because he is opening the restaurant and needs the parking which is accessed across EDVIS' property. It was noted both Mr. Patel and EDVIS were listed as applicants on the application submitted to the Planning Board. Mr. MacEachern said he would say this could be continued to the next meeting with the stipulation that the next meeting is the last one and all issues are worked out. This is a very unique situation and he does not want to do anything that would put constraints on EDVIS that would take away from the historical characteristics. Eventually, EDVIS will pave their parking lot.

Motion by MacEachern, seconded by Bartkiewicz to accept jurisdiction of the site plan application before the Board for Jay Goga Realty, LLC and the East Derry Improvement Society, PIDS 39033, 39034, 39035; 50, 52 and 54 East Derry Road.

Chase, Levin, O'Connor, MacEachern, Davison, Bartkiewicz, and Granese voted in favor. Chirichiello vote no as this is not a complete application and Connors voted no as the Board does

not allow any other applicant to move forward without a complete application. The motion passed.

Motion by MacEachern, seconded by Bartkiewicz to continue this public hearing to October 04, 2017.

Chase, Levin, Chirichiello, O'Connor, MacEachern, Davison, Bartkiewicz, Connors, and Granese voted in favor and the motion passed.

Mr. Granese advised there will be no further notification.

There was no further business before the Board.

Motion by MacEachern, seconded by Bartkiewicz to adjourn. The motion passed and the meeting stood adjourned at 8:33 p.m.

Approved by: _____
Chairman/Vice Chairman

Secretary

Approval date: _____